



www.stopcoalition.com

- Illinois Chamber of Commerce
- Chicagoland Chamber of Commerce
- Illinois Manufacturers' Association
- Illinois Retail Merchants Association
- Building Owners and Managers Association
- Chemical Industry Council of Illinois
- iBIO
- Illinois Competitive Energy Association
- MidAmerican Energy Company's Unregulated Retail Services Division
- Illinois Industrial Energy Consumers
- Retail Energy Supply Association
- Illinois Petroleum Council
- Illinois Business Roundtable

## What Others Are Saying

**"Tenaska subsidies are enormous, will have a negative job creation effect, will result in higher electricity prices for all employers, and will create a huge transfer of Chicago area governmental entity dollars, funded by taxpayers, to the TEC project."**

David Vite, President/CEO, Illinois Retail Merchants Association, Testimony to ICC Joint Policy Committee

**"No one hopes more than I do that cleaner coal plants find the technological, economic and environmental sweet spot to make them viable in our state. But giving a private entity a guaranteed long-term rate on the backs of thousands of business customers in our state isn't the answer."**

Doug Whitley, President/CEO, Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, Letter to the General Assembly

**"The 4,000 businesses across this state that I represent will have little patience for any legislation that raises energy costs needlessly. Illinois businesses have been hit hard by the recession and this is not the time to consider unnecessary increases in electric rates to make a private venture viable."**

Greg Baise, President/CEO, Illinois Manufacturers' Association, Comments to the ICC

**"Assuming a new base load plant is even needed, the least cost base-load capacity alternative to the IGCC facility is a combined cycle natural gas plant. The TEC project should be terminated, based on its lack of value as a demonstration project and its devastating economic impact on Illinois consumers. TEC's plant cost is already 45% more than the Clinton Nuclear Power Station."**

Gary Hickey, Private Citizen and Professional Engineer, Comments to the ICC

**"Tenaska does not reasonably demonstrate that the proposed facility will only have a minor impact on the bills of Illinois electric ratepayers. Its claims and conclusions and its supporting analyses are biased in favor of the proposed Taylorville facility by a number of extremely optimistic assumptions. Tenaska will not bear any significant risks from TEC. Instead, the ratepayers of the state's investor-owned utilities and alternative electric suppliers will bear the main risks and burdens of the project. Its claim that the proposed TEC will capture more than 50% of the CO2 that would otherwise be emitted is not persuasive. The Tenaska Secondary CO2 Emissions Analysis may significantly overstate overall reductions in regional CO2 emissions attributable to the proposed Taylorville Energy Center."**

Sierra Club/Schlissel Technical Consulting, Comments to the ICC

**"TEC is a step backward in the attainment of the state's environmental goals, will not appreciably advance the goal of demonstrating the viability of coal since it depends so heavily upon purchased natural gas and fails to protect consumers from the risk of paying exorbitant amounts for the energy from the facility."**

ComEd/Northbridge Consulting Comments to ICC